

01
02
03
04
05
06 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
07 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

08 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO. CR00-253- MJP
09 Plaintiff,)
10 v.) SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S.
11 MICHAEL SEAN MEEHAN.) MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO
12 Defendant.) ALLEGED VIOLATIONS
OF SUPERVISED RELEASE
13

14 An evidentiary hearing on supervised release revocation in this case was scheduled before
15 me on February 9, 2007. The United States was represented by AUSA Vincent T. Lombardi and
16 the defendant by Peter Mazzone. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

17 Defendant had been sentenced on or about October 23, 2000 by the Honorable Marsha J.
18 Pechman on a charge of Bank Fraud, and sentenced to 18 months custody, five years supervised
19 release.

20 The conditions of supervised release included the standard conditions plus the requirements
21 that defendant not possess any firearms, submit to mandatory drug testing, participate in substance
22 abuse treatment, abstain from alcohol, submit to search, participate in a mental health program,

SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS
TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE
PAGE -1

01 pay restitution in the amount of \$114,240.76, provide access to financial information, not incur
02 new credit obligations, and not possess any identification documents in any but true identity. (Dkt.
03 29.).

04 In an application dated October 23, 2001 (Dkt. 33), U.S. Probation Officer Scott A.
05 Henderson alleged the following violations of the conditions of supervised release:

06 1. Failing to report to the probation officer within 72 hours of being released from the
07 Bureau of Prisons, in violation of the general condition of supervision requiring that the defendant
08 report, in person, to the probation office in the district which the defendant is released within 72
09 hours of release from custody of the Bureau of Prisons.

10 2. Failing to notify the probation officer of any change in employment or residence,
11 in violation of standard condition No. 6.

12 On December 10, 2004, the warrant for arrest previously issued was quashed and
13 superceded by a new warrant. (Dkt. 36.)

14 Defendant was advised in full as to those charges and as to his constitutional rights.

15 Defendant admitted the alleged violations and waived any evidentiary hearing as to
16 whether they occurred. (Dkt.43.).

17 I therefore recommend the Court find defendant violated his supervised release as alleged,
18 and that the Court conduct a hearing limited to the issue of disposition. The next hearing will be
19 set before Judge Pechman.

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

01 Pending a final determination by the Court, defendant has been detained.

02 DATED this 9th day of February, 2007.

03 

04 Mary Alice Theiler
05 United States Magistrate Judge

06
07 cc: District Judge: Honorable Marsha J. Pechman
08 AUSA: Vincent T. Lombardi
09 Defendant's attorney: Peter Mazzone
10 Probation officer: Brian Rogers
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22